

# HEALTHCARE PRACTICE

## HIPAA/HITECH ACT vs. MONTANA'S DATA BREACH NOTIFICATION STATUTE

JANUARY 2010

G A R V E Y  
S C H U B E R T  
B A R E R

*Attorneys*



BEIJING    NEW YORK    PORTLAND    SEATTLE    WASHINGTON, D.C.

## HEALTHCARE PRACTICE

LAM NGUYEN-BULL

*Licensed in Montana*

HQNGUYEN@GSBLAW.COM

206.464.3939 EXT 1427

NANCY COOPER

NCOOPER@GSBLAW.COM

CARLA DEWBERRY

CDEWBERRY@GSBLAW.COM

DAVID GEE

DGEE@GSBLAW.COM

ROGER HILLMAN

RHILLMAN@GSBLAW.COM

ERIC LINDENAUER

ELINDENAUER@GSBLAW.COM

STEPHEN ROSE

SROSE@GSBLAW.COM

EMILY STUDEBAKER

ESTUDEBAKER@GSBLAW.COM

SCOTT WARNER

SWARNER@GSBLAW.COM

## HIPAA/HITECH BACKGROUND

In 2003, the Health Information Portability and Accountability Act ("HIPAA") became effective. The purpose of HIPAA was to provide baseline federal protections for personal health information held by healthcare providers (termed "covered entities") and give patients an array of rights with respect to that information.

- ▶ In 2009, HIPAA was supplemented and enhanced by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act ("HITECH"). HITECH imposes stricter enforcement penalties and details notification requirements to patients should their health information be improperly disclosed. In short, HIPAA/HITECH affects a very wide range of healthcare providers from hospitals, doctors, chiropractors, nursing homes to pharmacies and health plan providers -- as well as business associates of those healthcare providers. Compliance with the HIPAA standards was required as of April 14, 2003 for most entities. HITECH has different compliance dates with many sections of HITECH requiring compliance by February of 2010.

## ENFORCEMENT — WHAT COVERED ENTITIES NEED TO KNOW

The Office for Civil Rights ("OCR") is charged with responsibility for enforcing HIPAA. OCR seeks voluntary compliance but has power to impose significant civil monetary penalties for noncompliance. OCR may conduct compliance reviews and audits and investigate complaints alleging HIPAA violations. If OCR determines that a violation has occurred, OCR may impose a civil monetary penalty of up to \$500,000 per violation up to a maximum of \$1.5 million per year. OCR also works in conjunction with the Department of Justice (DOJ) to refer possible criminal violations of HIPAA.

- ▶ HITECH provides OCR with significant enhancements of its enforcement capabilities. It is anticipated that the number and intensity of OCR investigations of alleged HIPAA violations will greatly expand with the implementation of the HITECH provisions.

## FOLLOWING FEDERAL AND STATE LAW

HITECH imposes breach notification requirements should health information be improperly disclosed. In many instances a breach requiring patient notification under HIPAA/HITECH will also trigger notification under state law.

- ▶ The following chart is intended to compare the similarities and differences between the HIPAA/HITECH and Montana's data breach notification statute, and outlines the definitions and notification requirements under both federal and state law.



## COMPARISON OF THE HIPAA/HITECH ACT AND MONTANA'S DATA BREACH NOTIFICATION STATUTE.

TOPIC	HIPAA/HITECH <sup>1</sup>	MONTANA STATUTE
Effective Date for Rule Implementation	September 23, 2009	March 1, 2006 <sup>2</sup>
Government Enforcement Begins	HHS will not impose sanctions for failure to provide the required notifications for breaches that are discovered before 180 days from the date of publication of the HITECH rules. (August 24, 2009 through February 22, 2010).	March 1, 2006 <sup>3</sup>
Type of Information Covered	Unsecured protected health information ("PHI"). <sup>4</sup>	Unencrypted <sup>5</sup> computerized data containing personal information. <sup>6</sup>
Breach Notification Activator	Discovery of a breach of unsecured PHI. <sup>7</sup>	Discovery or notification of a breach. <sup>8</sup>
Breach Definition	The acquisition, access, use, or disclosure of PHI in a manner not permitted under HIPAA which compromises the security or privacy of the PHI. <sup>9</sup>	Unauthorized acquisition of computerized data that materially compromises the security, confidentiality, or integrity of personal information maintained by the person or business and causes or is reasonably believed to cause loss or injury to a Montana resident. <sup>10</sup>

1. Refers to the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act, Title XIII of Division A and Title IV of Division B of the American Recovery and Reinvestment Act of 2009 (Pub. L. 111-5). All section references below are to the HITECH Act.
2. 2005 Mont. Laws ch. 518 s. 12.
3. 2005 Mont. Laws ch. 518 s. 12.
4. "Unsecured protected health information" means "protected health information that is not rendered unusable, unreadable, or indecipherable to unauthorized individuals through the use of a technology or methodology specified by the Secretary [of Health and Human Services] in guidance." § 13402(h). This guidance was issued on April 17, 2009 and is published in the Federal Register at 74 FR 19006.
5. What constitutes "encryption" or "encrypted data" is not defined by the statute. MCA §§ 30-14-1702, 1704.
6. "Personal information" means an individual's first name or first initial and last name in combination with any one or more of the following data elements, when either the name or the data elements are not encrypted: (A) social security number; (B) driver's license number, state identification card number, or tribal identification card number; (C) account number or credit or debit card number, in combination with any required security code, access code, or password that would permit access to an individual's financial account. MCA § 30-14-1704(4)(b)(i).
7. A breach is treated as "discovered" as of the first day on which the breach is known by the covered entity or, by exercising reasonable diligence would have been known to the covered entity. § 164.404.
8. MCA § 30-14-1704(1).
9. "Compromises the security or privacy of the protected health information" means "poses a significant risk of financial, reputational, or other harm to the individual. § 164.402 (1)(i).
10. MCA § 30-14-1704(4)(a).
11. § 164.402 (2)(i).
12. § 164.402 (2)(ii).
13. § 164.402 (2)(iii).
14. MCA § 30-14-1704(4)(a).

TOPIC	HIPAA/HITECH	MONTANA STATUTE
<p>Exceptions to Breach Definition</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Unintentional acquisition, access, or use of PHI by a workforce member or person acting under the authority of the covered entity or business associate if done in good faith and within the scope of authority granted and does not result in further use or disclosure in a manner not permitted under HIPAA.<sup>11</sup></li> <li>2. Inadvertent disclosure between persons authorized to have access by the same covered entity or business associate or organized health care arrangement and the information received as a result of such disclosure is not further used or disclosed in a manner not permitted under HIPAA.<sup>12</sup></li> <li>3. Disclosure of PHI where the covered entity or business associate has a good faith belief that the unauthorized person to whom the disclosure was made would not reasonably have been able to retain the PHI.<sup>13</sup></li> </ol>	<p>Good faith acquisition of personal information by an employee or agent of the person or business for the purposes of the person or business is not a breach of the security of the data system, provided that the personal information is not used or subject to further unauthorized disclosure.<sup>14</sup></p>
<p>Direct Notification</p>	<p>Written notice by first-class mail to the individual at the last known address of the individual or, if the individual agreed to electronic notice, by electronic mail.<sup>15</sup></p>	<p>May be provided by one of the following methods:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Written notice,<sup>16</sup></li> <li>2. Electronic notice, if the notice provided is consistent with the provisions regarding electronic records and signatures set forth in<sup>17</sup> U.S.C. 7001, or</li> <li>3. Telephone notice.<sup>18</sup></li> </ol>

15. § 164.404 (d)(1).

16. MCA § 30-14-1704(5)(a)(i).

17. MCA § 30-14-1704(5)(a)(ii).

18. MCA § 30-14-1704(5)(a)(iii).

19. § 164.404 (d)(2).

20. MCA § 30-14-1704(5)(a)(iv).

21. § 164.404 (d)(2)(i).

22. For this substitute notice the covered entity must also establish a toll-free phone number that remains active for at least 90 days where an individual can learn whether the individual's unsecured PHI may be included in the breach. § 164.404 (d)(2)(ii).

23. MCA § 30-14-1704(5)(b).

24. § 164.404 (b).

25. MCA § 30-14-1704(1). The statute permits the timing of the disclosure to vary "consistent with any measures necessary to determine the scope of the breach and restore the reasonable integrity of the data system." MCA § 30-14-1704(1).

COMPARISON OF THE HIPAA/HITECH ACT AND  
MONTANA'S DATA BREACH NOTIFICATION STATUTE.

TOPIC	HIPAA/HITECH	MONTANA STATUTE
Substitute Notification— When Allowed	Allowed when there is insufficient or out-of-date contact information that precludes written notification. <sup>19</sup>	Allowed when there is insufficient contact information to provide the notice, or if the cost of providing the notice would exceed \$250,000, or if the number of affected individuals exceeds 500,000. <sup>20</sup>
Substitute Notification— Method of Delivery	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. If fewer than 10 individuals are to be notified, substitute notice may be provided by an alternative form of written notice, telephone, or other means.<sup>21</sup></li> <li>2. If more than 10 individuals are to be notified, substitute notice shall be in the form of either a conspicuous posting for a period of 90 days on the home page of the web site of the covered entity, or conspicuous notice in major print or broadcast media in geographic areas where the individuals affected by the breach likely reside.<sup>22</sup></li> </ol>	Substitute notice must include all of the following: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. An electronic mail notice when the person or business has an electronic mail address for the subject persons; and</li> <li>2. Conspicuous posting of the notice on the website page of the person or business if the person or business maintains one; or</li> <li>3. Notification to applicable local or statewide media.<sup>23</sup></li> </ol>
Notification Deadlines	Notification is to be provided "without unreasonable delay and in no case later than 60 calendar days after discovery of the breach." <sup>24</sup>	Notification must be made "without unreasonable delay." <sup>25</sup>
Delay in Notification Allowed?	Allowed for 30 days if a law enforcement official states to the covered entity or business associate that notification would impede a criminal investigation or cause damage to national security. Delays of more than 30 days allowed only if law enforcement official makes a written request. <sup>26</sup>	Allowed if a law enforcement agency determines that the notification will impede a criminal investigation and requests a delay in notification. <sup>27</sup>

26. § 164.412.

27. MCA § 30-14-1704(3).

28. § 164.404 (c).

29. § 164.406.

30. § 164.408 (b).

31. § 164.408 (c).

32. MCA § 30-14-1704(5)(a)(iv).

## COMPARISON OF THE HIPAA/HITECH ACT AND MONTANA'S DATA BREACH NOTIFICATION STATUTE.

TOPIC	HIPAA/HITECH	MONTANA STATUTE
Notification Information	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. A brief description of what happened, including the date of the breach and the date of the discovery of the breach, if known;</li> <li>2. A description of the types of PHI involved in the breach;</li> <li>3. Steps individuals should take to protect themselves from potential harm resulting from the breach;</li> <li>4. Brief description of what the covered entity is doing to investigate, mitigate, and protect against any further breaches; and</li> <li>5. Contact procedures for individuals to ask questions or learn additional information which shall include a toll-free telephone number, an e-mail address, web site, or postal address.<sup>28</sup></li> </ol>	Not specified in the statute.
Notification to Media, Government and/or Third Parties	<p><u>Media</u>: If breach affects more than 500 residents of a state or jurisdiction.<sup>29</sup></p> <p><u>Government-500 or More Affected</u>: If breach affects 500 or more individuals, notice must be given to HHS contemporaneously with the notice being given to the affected individual.<sup>30</sup></p> <p><u>Government-Fewer Than 500 Affected</u>: If breach affects fewer than 500 individuals, covered entity shall maintain a log or other documentation of breaches and provide that information to HHS within 60 days after the end of each calendar year.<sup>31</sup></p>	<p><u>Media</u>: When substitute notice is permitted, e.g., when there is insufficient contact information to provide direct notice, or if the cost of providing direct notice would exceed \$250,000, or if the number of affected individuals exceeds 500,000.<sup>32</sup></p>

## HEALTHCARE PRACTICE

Garvey Schubert Barer serves leading healthcare organizations across the Northwest, including hospitals, ambulatory surgery centers, managed care providers, long-term care facilities, physician organizations, clinical laboratory and pathology companies, genomic laboratories, medical device manufacturers, third-party payors, and healthcare associations. We understand the constraints facing the industry, and offer a wide range of services, including:

- ▶ Acquisitions, Consolidations, Mergers and Other Transactions
- ▶ Antitrust
- ▶ Bankruptcy
- ▶ Bond and Other Capital Financing
- ▶ Business and Corporate
- ▶ Federal and State Regulatory Advice
- ▶ Federal, State and Local Taxation
- ▶ Fraud and Abuse Regulation
- ▶ HIPAA
- ▶ Integrated Delivery Systems, Joint Ventures and Other Collaborative Arrangements
- ▶ IP and Technology
- ▶ Labor Relations and Employment Advice
- ▶ Litigation and Dispute Resolution
- ▶ Managed Care and Health Insurance
- ▶ Provider Reimbursement and RAC Audit Defense
- ▶ Quality Assurance
- ▶ Real Estate

We appreciate the economic, regulatory and competitive challenges facing the healthcare industry. Our goal is to partner with our clients, serving as trusted advisors to help our clients succeed in this competitive industry.

## GARVEY SCHUBERT BARER

Garvey Schubert Barer is a full-service law firm with over 100 lawyers serving clients in the United States and abroad, with particular focus on the Pacific Northwest. From our five strategic locations, Beijing, New York, Portland, Seattle and Washington, D.C., we serve as outside counsel to established market leaders, newly launched enterprises and governmental bodies. Since its inception in 1966, GSB has served clients across virtually all industry sectors, including healthcare, technology, trade, transportation, maritime, financial services, real estate, communications and media, entertainment and manufacturing. The firm provides comprehensive, practical solutions to Fortune 500 companies and a broad range of privately held companies, investment firms, financial institutions, not-for-profit organizations and individuals.

### HEALTHCARE PRACTICE

LAM NGUYEN-BULL

*Licensed in Montana*

HQNGUYEN@GSBLAW.COM

206.464.3939 EXT 1427

NANCY COOPER

NCOOPER@GSBLAW.COM

CARLA DEWBERRY

CDEWBERRY@GSBLAW.COM

DAVID GEE

DGEE@GSBLAW.COM

ROGER HILLMAN

RHILLMAN@GSBLAW.COM

ERIC LINDENAUER

ELINDENAUER@GSBLAW.COM

STEPHEN ROSE

SROSE@GSBLAW.COM

EMILY STUDEBAKER

ESTUDEBAKER@GSBLAW.COM

SCOTT WARNER

SWARNER@GSBLAW.COM



PORTLAND

BANK OF AMERICA FINANCIAL CENTER  
121 SW MORRISON STREET  
11TH FLOOR  
PORTLAND, OR 97204-3141  
503.228.3939 TEL  
503.226.0259 FAX

SEATTLE

SECOND & SENECA BUILDING  
1191 SECOND AVENUE  
18TH FLOOR  
SEATTLE, WA 98101-2939  
206.464.3939 TEL  
206.464.0125 FAX